

RECEIVED

FEB 2 2 2017

February 17, 2017

DEP SOUTHCENTRAL OFFICE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Mrs. Maria Bebenek Environmental Engineering Manager Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Southcentral Regional Office 909 Elmerton Avenue Harrisburg, PA 17110

Subject:

Exelon Generation LLC

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station

NPDES Permit PA 0009733

Notice of Planned Measurement Uncertainty Recapture Uprate

Reference: Letter, Patrick Navin to Jay Patel, dated July 15, 2016, Notice of Planned

Measurement Uncertainty Recapture Uprate

Dear Mrs. Bebenek,

This letter is a follow up notice to the referenced letter regarding Exelon Generation's LLC (Exelon) intent to perform a Measurement Uncertainty Recapture (MUR) uprate of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station's (PBAPS) Units 2 and 3. This notice is to formally document this planned change to the plant's effluent stream as required by Part A. Section III.C of the station's NPDES permit.

The approved MUR would allow PBAPS to utilize the available tolerance of currently installed feedwater flow instrumentation to increase the licensed power output of the station. The MUR uprate will increase the station's licensed power level from 3951 MWth to 4016 MWth (less than 1.7% increase). The uprate is achieved by implementing improved techniques for calculating reactor power. This involves the use of state-of-the-art devices to more precisely measure the feedwater flow used to calculate reactor power. The MUR utilizes the more precise measurements to reduce the degree of uncertainty in the power level and to increase the maximum licensed power of the station.

The MUR uprate will not change chemical discharges from the station as plant flow rate or chemical use changes are not occurring. A plant intake to discharge temperature (Δt) increase of up to 0.4°F over current conditions will occur. As shown in the recently submitted Post-EPU Study, the actual EPU Δt increase was 22.1°F versus the 22.4°F maximum Δt used for the calibrated thermal model developed for EPU resulting in a 0.3°F margin. Therefore, the net ∆t increase projected for MUR will be only 0.1°F over the 22.4°F maximum Δt model used for EPU temperature and biological projections. The Post-EPU Thermal Study confirmed that a Balanced Indigenous Community (BIC) exists in the Conowingo Pond based on actual conditions being bounded by the assumptions and inputs to the calibrated EPU model.

A review was performed to determine the downstream effects of the net 0.1°F Δt increase from MUR over the calibrated EPU model. This review determined that based on thermal mixing in the Conowingo Pond, the net temperature increase at the near field temperature stations downstream of the plant discharge would range from 0.02°F and 0.06 °F over the

EPU model. These nominal temperature increases will not have a measurable effect on the biological community in the Conowingo Pond as these increases will not result in discharge temperatures reaching or exceeding thresholds that would impact the biological community through increased avoidance, migratory blockage, or other deleterious impacts. Therefore, Exelon concludes that a BIC will be maintained in the Conowingo Pond for MUR conditions.

The MUR License Amendment is scheduled to be submitted to the NRC by the end of February, 2017 and the planned power increase of both units is currently scheduled for April, 2018. Please contact Joseph Brozonis, Sr. Environmental Chemist, if you have any questions regarding this uprate. Based on prior discussion with your office we understand that PA DEP's review of this change will take longer than the 30 days specified in the NPDES permit for review of an increased loading of an approved pollutant; however, we would appreciate an update on PA DEP's review schedule within the 30 day period.

Sincerely,

Patrick D. Navin Plant Manager

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station

ccn 17-20

RECEIVED

Year: 2017 ant Anticipated Daily Pollutant Load (lbs/day) Avg Max
--

Complete This Section Only for Increased Loading of an Approved Pollutant:

Anticipated Date to Start Receiving Waste

ELG Citation

Pollutant Subject to ELG?

Description of How Pollutant Load Will Be Treated

Location(s) Where Wastes Will Be Introduced

Ϋ́

40 CFR

40 CFR

	uai	y)	
Current Conditions	Avg Annual	Olganic Lu (Ibs/day)	
	Avg Annual	(MGD)	
Design Conditions	Organic Design	(lbs/day)	****
	Hydraulic Design	(MGD)	
	Avg Annual Flow	(MGD)	

Pollutant Loads Listed in Application or Otherwise Authorized by DEP (lbs/day)		Max	N/A
		Avg	N/A

% ⊠ ☐ Yes Is the facility currently in a condition of existing or projected hydraulic or organic overload as defined in 25 Pa. Code Chapter 94? Comment:

I certify under penalty of law that this document was prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified	personnel gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly	responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there	are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations. See 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904 (relating to	unsworn falsification).	
l cert	perso	respo	are s	MSUN	

Phone: 717-456-3795

February 14, 2017

Signature: Date:

Sr. Environmental Chemist

Joseph Brozonis

Prepared By:

Title: